This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,823	02/20/2002	Dennis Colditz	24-NS-120748	7483	
23465 7	590 12/20/2002				
JOHN S. BEULICK C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			EXAMINER		
			PALABRICA, RICARDO J		
SUITE 2600 ST LOUIS, MO	O 63102-2740		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3641		
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unix 3641		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Rick Palabrica 3641 **The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cover sh et with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply be timely filed set set \$80 (s) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply with the statutory reinformed thing of the stay in specified the reply is appended to see the stay in specified the reply is appended to see the stay in specified above is less than the statutory period vallage and vallage \$10,000 (s) stays will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than the statutory period vallage and vallage \$10,000 (s) stays will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than the statutory period vallage and vallage and vallage and the stay is statutory period vallage and	•	09/683,823	COLDITZ ET AL.	\sim				
The MAILNG DATE of this communication app ars on the cover shield with the correspondence address—Peri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of their may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.15(e), in no event, however, may a teply to timely filed after SK (b) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.15(e), in no event, however, may a teply to timely filed after SK (b) MONTH'S from the mailing date of the communication. Films or reply is specified above, the maximum adulation provided highly and will be provided for reply to the mailing date of the communication. Films to reply within the set of extended period and subtract period under play and will be provided by the statement of the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any secure of the provided provided them adulations. Set 37 CPR 1.74(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 2002. This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is fill and accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sittion of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.4-13.16-24 and 27-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) □ Of the above claim(s) 9.10.19.20.22.29.30 and 32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1.4-13.16-18.21.23.24.27.28.31 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.4-8.11-13.16-18.21.23.24.27.28.31 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.4-8.11-13.16-18.21.23.24.27.28.31 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.4-8.11-13.16-18.21.23.24.27.28.31 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) □ The proposed drawing and required in reply to this office action. 11) □ The proposed drawing are required in reply to this office action. 12) □ The proposed drawing correction filled on 1.5 is all 1.2 is accepted or	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
Peri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elementors of time may be available under the grovisions of 37 CFR 1.13(p). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Elementors of time may be available under the grovisions of 37 CFR 1.13(p). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Elementors of time may be available under the grovisions of 37 CFR 1.13(p). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Elementor is provided for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and tile opins SIX (8) MCNTHS from the mating date of this communication for reply specified eventual period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory provided by the control of the provided period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory provided period for reply specified gate of this communication. Figure 1 or provided in the specified above, the maximum statutory provided period for reply specified gate of this communication. Provided and provided in the specified above, the maximum statutory provided period for reply reduces any searced palent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(p). Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 2002. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is not entirely filed. The provided period for reply specified and provided and provided period for reply specified and provided period for provided period for reply specified period for the provided period for provided period	•	Rick Palabrica	3641					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estandance of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTH'S form the realizable of this communication. **FIX Described for reply is parallel above, the hermitival deeps, an explaint point of theiry (30) days will be conditioned in the statistics of the provision of their points of the conditions of the provision of t	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cover sh et with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4-13.16-24 and 27-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9.10.19.20,22.29.30 and 32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.4-8.11-13.16-18.21.23.24.27.28.31 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some to the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,4-13,16-24 and 27-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,10,19,20,22,29,30 and 32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4-8,11-13,16-18,21,23,24,27,28,31 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	November 2002 .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,10,19,20,22,29,30 and 32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,4-8,11-13,16-18,21,23,24,27,28,31 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,10,19,20,22,29,30 and 32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,4-8,11-13,16-18,21,23,24,27,28,31 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	4) Claim(s) 1,4-13,16-24 and 27-33 is/are pendi	ng in the application.						
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
6) Claim(s) 1.4-8.11-13.16-18.21,23.24,27,28.31 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ccepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	<u> </u>	and 33 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	<u> </u>	,						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional appl	ication).				
	_ , -							
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO 892)	Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Pro-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:		5) Notice of Informal P						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment in Paper No. 8 canceling dependent claims 2, 3, 14, 15, 25 and 26, and amending independent claims 1, 13 and 24, is acknowledged. The amendment essentially limited the independent claims by incorporating therewith the limitations of the associated, now-cancelled dependent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-8, 11-13, 16-18, 21, 23-24, 27, 28, 31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any one of Gregson (U.S. 3,393,026), Wade (U.S. 4,192,558), Poindexter (U.S. 4,080,254) or Westinghouse Electric Corporation (FR 2373858). Anyone of these references discloses arrangements for refueling of a nuclear reactor.

Gregson shows in Fig. 1 his invention as applied to a steam-generating heavy water moderated reactor. Applicant's claims read on Figs. 1 of Gregson as follows: a) "frame" reads on the plurality of ribs protruding from the exterior surface near the top of

Art Unit: 3641

structure 15; b) "floor covering said frame" reads on structure covering the plurality of ribs; c) "support structure" reads on the stair structure disposed between the floor and structure 17; d) "reactor access opening" reads on the aperture through which refueling machine 15 penetrates the concrete vessel 10; e) "auxiliary platform" reads on auxiliary structure 17; f) "safety rail around the outer perimeter of the floor" reads on rails around the floor covering the ribs; g) "lifting device" reads on the fuel charging mechanism shown extending from the refueling machine 15; h) "safety rail a perimeter of access opening" reads on the outer shell of structure 15; i) "primary containment vessel" reads on the building that encloses the nuclear reactor system, which is inherently present in the case of Gregson's invention. Note that auxiliary platform 17 is movable around the access opening. Also, it is inherent that this platform is made of high strength and rigidity material, such as steel, in view of the massive weight of this structure.

Wade shows in Fig. 1 his invention as applied to a liquid metal reactor.

Applicant's claims read on Figs. 1 of Wade as follows: a) "frame" reads on the inner jacket structure of pressure vessel 14, which structure directly encloses coolant 22; b) "floor covering said frame" reads on structures 24, 26 and 28, particularly their top surfaces; c) "support structure" reads on bolts 32; d) "reactor access opening" reads on the aperture 48 through which rotating plug 30 and transfer machine 52 penetrate the pressure vessel 10; e) "auxiliary platform" reads on rotating plug 30; f) "safety rail around the outer perimeter of the floor" reads on riser assembly 34; g) "lifting device" reads on the fuel transfer machine 52 with grapple 60; h) "safety rail a perimeter of access opening" reads on riser assembly 46; l) "primary containment vessel" reads on

Art Unit: 3641

the building that encloses the nuclear reactor system, which is inherently present in the case of Wade's invention. Note that auxiliary platform 30 is movable around the access opening. Also, it is inherent that this platform is made of high strength and rigidity material, such as steel, in view of the massive weight of this structure.

Poindexter and Westinghouse disclose inventions similar to Wade.

3. Claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Petermann et al. (U.S. 3,994,365).

Applicant's language in claim 1, "for a nuclear reactor" is essentially a statement of intended or desired use. Thus is clause, as well as other statements of intended use do not serve to patently distinguish the <u>claimed</u> structure over that of an applicable reference. See <u>In re Pearson</u>, 181 USPQ 641; <u>In re Yanush</u>, 177 USPQ 705; <u>In re Finsterwalder</u>, 168 USPQ 530; <u>In re Casey</u>, 152USPQ 235; <u>In re Otto</u>, 136 USPQ 458; Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647.

See also MPEP 2114 that states:

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647.

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Danly*, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." <u>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.</u>, 15 USPQ2d 1525,1528. As set forth in MPEP 2115, a recitation in a claim to the material or article worked upon does not serve to limit an apparatus claim.

Petermann et al. disclose an apparatus for positioning a person within a container tank. This apparatus has the capability of being adapted for use in a nuclear container tank, such as a reactor pressure vessel. Applicant's claims read on Figs. 1 Petermann et al as follows: a) "frame" reads on structure 12; b) "support structure" reads on structure 48 c) "floor covering said frame" reads on covering 22; d) "reactor access opening" reads on opening 38 penetrated by hoist 14; e) "auxiliary platform" reads on structure 24 showing a worker; g) "lifting device" reads on hoist 14. Note that auxiliary platform 24 is movable around the access opening. Also, it is inherent that this platform is made of high strength and rigidity material, such as steel, in view of the massive weight of this structure.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References D-G further illustrate prior art.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick Palabrica whose telephone number is 703-306-5756. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-4:30, Mon-Fri; 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/683,823

Art Unit: 3641

Page 6

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

RJP December 13, 2002

MICH TO A STATE MARINER SUPERVISION FOR THE MARINER